A PRESENTATION OF THE SCHEMATIC DESIGN FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND SAFETY/REGULATED MATERIALS FACILITY PROJECT WILL BE MADE AT THE APRIL MEETING

G.D. 15b

MEMORANDUM

- To: Board of Regents
- From: Board Office
- **Subject:** Register of Iowa State University Capital Improvement Business Transactions for Period of March 13, 2003, Through April 10, 2003

Date: March 31, 2003

Recommended Actions:

- 1. Approve the Register of Capital Improvement Business Transactions for Iowa State University.
- 2. **ROLL CALL VOTE** to approve the demolition of Westgate Residence Hall.

Executive Summary:

Requested Program statement for the <u>General Classrooms and Auditoriums</u> project for the construction of a new auditorium for LeBaron Hall and the renovation of two existing auditoriums in Physics Hall (see page 2).

Schematic design for the <u>Environmental Health and Safety/Regulated</u> <u>Materials Facility</u> project which would construct a new facility to consolidate the University's Environmental Health and Safety regulated materials handling operations, laboratories, training programs and offices (see page 5).

• The schematic design booklet is included with the Board's docket materials.

Project descriptions and budgets:

Lied Recreation Athletic Center—Turf Replacement project (\$350,000) which would replace the deteriorated artificial turf practice field in the Lied Center (see page 10).

<u>Westgate Hall Demolition</u> project (\$195,200) which would raze the residence hall, which has exceeded its life expectancy, and clear the site for future development of a parking lot (see page 11).

• A resolution for the abandonment of Westgate Hall is included in

B.C. 5; bond resolutions for Iowa State University's Residence System require specific approval of the Board of Regents prior to the abandonment of any units in the system.

Revised project budget (\$8,524,000) and construction contract award (\$1,062,654) for window replacements for the **Beardshear Hall Remodeling** project (see page 12).

• The revised budget would provide an additional \$527,193 to allow award of the construction contract for the replacement of all of the Beardshear Hall windows, which are original to the building's construction and have experienced significant deterioration.

Engineering agreement with Farris Engineering, Des Moines, Iowa (\$1,150,000) for the <u>Utilities—Power Plant Turbine Generator #6</u> project which would increase the electrical generating capacity of the power plant to serve all of the campus electrical needs (see page 15).

Background and Analysis:

General Classrooms and Auditoriums

Project Summary

	<u>Amount</u>	Date	Board Action
Permission to Proceed Project Description and Total Budget Architectural Agreement—Pre-Design and Schematic Design Services	\$ 14,238,500	Sept. 2002 Jan. 2003	Approved Approved
(Baldwin White Architects, Des Moines, IA)	175,000	Jan. 2003	Approved
Program Statement (LeBaron Hall Auditorium and Physics Hall Auditoriums)		April 2003	Requested

Background The University currently operates and maintains a total of 233 classrooms, including 13 auditoriums; these facilities do not provide the necessary capacity, media technology, space flexibility and specialized classroom components for modern instructional programs.

In addition, the facilities suffer from accessibility and mechanical/ electrical deficiencies.

Project Scope The improvements would provide air conditioning, improved lighting and lighting control, and classroom furniture, to create an environment that supports instructional technology.

The project would include the following:

- LeBaron Hall Auditorium and systems upgrade, which includes removal of the existing auditorium (214 seats, 2,400 net square feet) and construction of a new lecture hall, and replacement of the heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems, at a project cost of \$5,815,700;
- Physics Hall Rooms 3 and 5, which include remodeling of the two classrooms (a total of 388 seats, 4,050 net square feet), at a project cost of \$1,483,600; and
- Remodeling and installation of media technology in various existing general classrooms.

Funding Capital appropriations authorized by the 2002 General Assembly.

Program <u>LeBaron Hall Auditorium (new construction)</u>

Statement

The new general classroom auditorium would provide a seating capacity of approximately 360.

- The auditorium would be adaptable for flexible and non-traditional teaching styles and instructional technologies.
- Sufficient queuing space would be provided to accommodate the exchange of auditorium users between classes.

A separate informal meeting/gathering area to accommodate small groups would provide a variety of seating options.

The existing Cyber Café, which is a study area with computer access, would be preserved or recreated.

Physics Hall Auditoriums (renovation)

The project would provide two general University classrooms:

- An auditorium with a seating capacity between 260 and 300.
- A smaller classroom with a seating capacity between 90 and 120.
- The classrooms would be adaptable for flexible and non-traditional teaching styles and instructional technologies.

The existing staging and storage areas, currently located between the two classrooms, would be relocated to allow expansion of each classroom.

Square Footage The following table provides the detailed square footages for the two projects.

Detailed Building Program

LeBaron Hall Auditorium (new construction) Auditorium Queuing Area Copy Center Informal Meeting/Gathering	5,600 1,350 750 650		
Cyber Café Storage/Custodial	650 <u>224</u>		
Total Net Assignable Space		9,224	nsf
Total Non-Assignable Space		<u>6,152</u>	
Total Gross Square Feet		<u>15,376</u>	gsf
Net-to-Gross Ratio = 60 percent			
Physics Hall Auditoriums (renovation) Auditorium Classroom Staging/Storage Areas	3,875 1,060 <u>750</u>		
Total Net Assignable Space		<u>5,685</u>	nsf

Environmental Health and Safety/Regulated Materials Facility

Project	Summary
---------	---------

	<u>A</u>	mount	Date	Board Action
Permission to Proceed Architectural Agreement—Pre-Design Phase			May 2002	Approved
(Architects Smith Metzger, Des Moines, IA)	\$	120,000	Sept. 2002	Approved
Program Statement Architectural Agreement—Schematic Through Construction Phase Design Services			Jan. 2003	Approved
(Architects Smith Metzger, Des Moines, IA)		818,000	Jan. 2003	Approved
Schematic Design Project Description and Total Budget	10),000,000	April 2003 April 2003	Requested Requested

Background The project would construct a facility of 34,449 gross square feet to house all Environmental Health and Safety staff and facilities for processing and storing hazardous waste materials for all on-campus, extension and research farm activities of the University.

The project would consolidate in the building the University's regulated materials operations and Environmental Health and Safety functions to provide program and cost efficiencies, and would respond to the deficiencies with the University's Chemical Waste Handling Facility which currently houses the regulated materials operations.

The following functions would be housed in the building:

- Regulated Materials Facility, which would identify, label, sort and store various materials regulated by state and federal agencies, which are received from campus departments prior to transport for disposal or incineration.
- Radioactive Materials Area, which would process both new radioactive materials received for delivery to various campus departments, and radioactive waste material received from campus departments.
- Learning Center, and associated training laboratory, which would be used for safety training programs, including those required by state and federal regulations, which are conducted by the Department of Environmental Health and Safety for University faculty, staff and students.

- Industrial Hygiene Laboratory, which would provide analysis of bulk • asbestos, lead paint, mold and similar materials. Administrative Offices and Support Areas, and Shop Space. **Project Site** The facility would be constructed in the West Pammel Court area in the University's north campus. (A map indicating the proposed location for the facility is included as Attachment A.) This site was selected due to its proximity to the main campus and major traffic routes; the building design parameters and quantity shipping limitations would allow the facility to be operated within an acceptable risk to the neighboring campus facilities. Schematic The following are highlights of the interior design: Design The facility would consist of one level divided into three distinct functional areas.
 - The Regulated Materials Facility, which includes the Radioactive Materials Area, would be located at the north end of the building, which is the least public area of the site.
 - The design of this area reflects a "flexible cell" concept that allows the secure storage of materials in rooms sized to hold the expected quantities with minimal air circulation.
 - The east-west corridor has been designed for the safe movement of materials in and out of the facility; the expanded central corridor space would provide a central control point to allow clear visual access to all of the storage cells.
 - All entrances to the area would be secured with an access control system.
 - A secure, enclosed loading dock would be located to the north of the facility.
 - The area would include a sloped floor and a system of underground storage tanks for spill containment and fire sprinkler water containment.

- The Learning Center, Industrial Hygiene Laboratory, shop space and mechanical support areas would be centrally located in the facility.
 - The Learning Center functions would be housed near the main public entrance and lobby area on the east side of the building.
 - The Industrial Hygiene Laboratory, shop space and mechanical support areas, all of which are high mechanical demand spaces, would be located in this area of the building to maximize building operating efficiency.
 - The laboratories and shop space would be organized around the secured service entrance on the west side of the building.
- The administrative office areas would be located at the south end of the building.
 - The administration area would provide a central open office environment; the perimeter of this area would house enclosed offices, conference rooms and support spaces.
 - The open office area would provide flexibility to meet changing needs and technologies.

Restrooms

Public restrooms would be centrally located within the building near the Learning Center functions.

• The restrooms would provide ten female toilet fixtures and three female lavatories, and two male toilet fixtures, two urinals, and two male lavatories.

The public restrooms would serve all areas of the building with the exception of the Regulated Materials Facility, where combined locker room, shower, and restroom areas would be provided within this secure area of the building for the users.

The following are highlights of the **exterior design**:

The building would be constructed of pre-cast concrete, metal panels, and glass.

- The materials were selected to provide a modern/industrial character consistent with the building's function and its relationship to other adjacent facilities in the area, particularly the Library Storage Building and Administrative Services Facilities Office Building.
- Exterior windows would be positioned to provide natural lighting for the building and to take advantage of site views to the east and west.
 - A glass curtain wall system would be constructed on the west facade; clerestory windows would be constructed on the east facade to balance natural light levels.
 - The use of glass would be minimized on the north and south facades to improve security, energy efficiency, and to reduce noise from the nearby railroad.

<u>Roof</u>

The roof would feature a low-sloped design constructed of a rubber membrane material.

- The proposed roofing system is consistent with adjacent facilities in the area.
- The rubber membrane material was selected for its durability and life expectancy (approximately 20 years).

<u>Parking</u>

A parking area for up to seven departmental vehicles would be located on the west side of the building near the secure service entrance; two accessible parking areas would also be provided along the east side of the building.

Square Footage The following table compares the square footages in the schematic design with the square footages in the building program approved by the Board in January 2003.

Detailed Building Program

	Building Program	Schematic <u>Design</u>	
Administrative Offices/Support Areas	7.420	7.746	
Regulated Materials Facility	6,550	6,490	
Radioactive Materials	2,895	2,701	
Learning Center	1,850	1,865	
Industrial Hygiene Laboratory	1,100	1,063	
Shop Space	<u>400</u>	<u>418</u>	
Total Net Assignable Space	20,215	20,283	nsf
Total Gross Square Feet	<u>33,692</u>	<u>34,449</u>	gsf
Net-to-Gross Ratio (Schematic) = 59 perce	ent		

Schedule The University anticipates that the project would be bid in November 2003 for completion by June 2005.

Project Budget

Construction Cost Professional Fees Movable Equipment Relocation Contingency	\$ 7,902,780 1,625,220 263,000 9,000 <u>200,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>
Source of Funds: Revenue Bonds/Chemical Materials Fee* Facilities Overhead Use Allowance	\$ 6,000,000 <u>4,000,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>

* The bond sale for the project is scheduled for July 2003, in accordance with the calendar year 2003 bond issuance schedule approved by the Board in November 2002.

Lied Recreation Athletic Center—Turf Replacement

Project Summary				
		<u>Amount</u>	Date	Board Action
Project Description	and Total Budget	\$ 350,000	April 2003	Requested
Background	The existing artificial turf practinstalled in 1990 with construct poses an injury risk to athlete feasible.	tice field in t tion of the bu es and studer	he Lied Cente lilding, has de hts; repair of t	er, which was teriorated and the turf is not
Project Scope	The project would remove and turf surface in the Lied Center.	replace the	28,000 square	e foot artificial
Funding	Recreation Facility Revenue Bo	ond Improvem	ent Funds.	
Project Budget				
Construction Cost\$ 300,000Professional Fees32,500Contingency17,500				
	TOTAL			<u>\$ 350,000</u>

Westgate Hall Demolition

Project Summary				
		<u>Amount</u>	<u>Date</u>	Board Action
Project Descriptior	and Total Budget	\$ 195,200	April 2003	Requested
Background	Westgate Residence Hall, intersection of Union Drive a location of the facility is includ	constructed ir nd Sheldon Av led as Attachmo	n 1955, is I enue. (A ma ent B.)	ocated at the p indicating the
	The facility, which consists of 83 beds, will not be occupied	27,252 gross s after June 2003	quare feet wit 3.	h a capacity for
	The building has exceeded its life expectancy and would require majo renovation and remodeling to make it functional.			d require major
	The demolition of the bui Neighborhood Master Plan pr	Iding was increasented to the	luded in the Board in 2000	e Union Drive).
Project Scope	The project would raze We leveled and graded following a parking lot under a future pr	stgate Resider the demolition oject.	nce Hall; the and would be	site would be developed into
Additional	A resolution for the abandonn	nent of Westgat	e Hall is inclu	ded in B.C. 5.
Information	Bond resolutions for lowa St specific approval of the Boar any units in the system.	tate University's rd of Regents	s Residence prior to the a	System require bandonment of
Funding	Residence System.			
		Project Budget		
	Construction Cost Professional Fees Contingency			\$ 166,700 25,240 <u>3,260</u>
	TOTAL			<u>\$ 195,200</u>

Beardshear Hall-Remodeling

	Project Summary		
	<u>Amount</u>	Date	Board Action
Permission to Proceed Project Description and Total Budget Architectural Agreement through Schematic Design	\$ 5,750,507	July 1998 July 1998	Approved Approved
(Brooks Borg and Skiles) Construction Contract—Replace Roofs A E and E	80,390	Oct. 1998	Approved
(Wood Roofing Company) Program Statement Schematic Design Architectural Agreement through	200,650	March 1999 June 1999 July 1999	Ratified Approved Approved
Construction Services (Brooks Borg and Skiles) Amendments #1-3	621,720	July 1999	Approved
(Brooks Borg and Skiles) Construction Contract - General Construction (HPC, L.L.C.)	66,412		Approved*
Revised Project Budget Construction Contract - Telecommunications	3,552,000 6,076,707	July 2000 Oct. 2000	Ratified Approved
(Wiring by Design) Revised Project Budget Change Orders (Estimated Amount)	266,000 7,996,807 777,200	Feb. 2001 June 2001 June 2001	Ratified Approved Approved
Architectural Amendment #4 (Brooks Borg and Skiles) Architectural Amendment #5	10,319	July 2001	Approved
(Brooks Borg and Skiles) Construction Contract Award—Dome Renovation (EverGreene Painting)	85,637 167,580	May 2002 Sept. 2002	Approved Ratified
Revised Project Budget Construction Contract Award	8,524,000	April 2003	Requested
(Bergstrom Construction)	1,062,654	April 2003	Requested

*Approved by the University in accordance with Board procedures.

Background

This project has remodeled space in Beardshear Hall to consolidate various student-related functions in one central campus location.

The project has also relocated and consolidated other administrative offices within the building, and addressed building code, accessibility, and life safety deficiencies.

An expanded project scope approved in June 2001 incorporated window replacements for the facility; for the most part, the existing windows are original to the building's construction and have experienced significant deterioration.

The window replacement work was put on hold in the fall of 2001, but the project was recently reinstated and the construction contract was bid in March 2003.

The bids for the window replacements exceeded the original estimates; the University attributes the high bids to inflationary cost increases while the project was on hold, and the need for additional lead and asbestos abatement.

Revised Project The revised budget of \$8,524,000, an increase of \$527,193, would allow award of the construction contract for the replacement of all of the window sashes in Beardshear Hall.

Construction Subject to approval of the revised budget, the University requests award of the construction contract to Bergstrom Construction for Base Bid #1 plus Alternates 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D, for a total award of \$1,062,654.

- The four alternates would provide for the replacement of all of the windows in the facility.
- Bergstrom Construction is the low bidder for the recommended award.

Project Budget

	Revised Budget <u>June 2001</u>	Revised Budget <u>April 2003</u>
Construction Costs Professional Fees Movable Equipment Relocation Contingency	\$ 6,487,900 1,303,700 42,500 80,000 <u>82,707</u>	\$ 6,838,000 1,503,500 42,500 135,000 <u>5,000</u>
TOTAL Source of Funds:	<u>\$ 7,996,807</u>	<u>\$ 8,524,000</u>
Income from Treasurer's Temporary Investments General University/Building Repair	\$ 6,224,571	\$ 5,639,390
Funds Business and Finance Endowment Administrative Reserve	1,468,926	2,546,300
and Extension Funds ISU Foundation Unrestricted Gifts	98,310 15,000 <u>10,000</u>	98,310 50,000 <u>10,000</u>
	<u>\$ 7,996,807</u>	<u>\$ 8,524,000</u>

Utilities—Power Plant Turbine Generator #6

<u> </u>	<u>Amount</u>	Date	Board Action
Permission to Proceed Project Description and Total Budget	\$ 12,000,000	Jan. 2003 Jan. 2003	Approved Approved
Engineering Agreement (Farris Engineering, Des Moines, IA)	1,150,000	April 2003	Requested

Background The campus electrical needs continue to increase due to the construction of new buildings and normal electrical load growth.

In addition, the cost of purchased electricity has increased to the point where it is now more economical to generate electricity in the campus power plant than to purchase it.

However, the power plant does not currently have sufficient capacity to reliably generate the amount of electricity needed to serve all of the campus electrical needs.

- Project Scope This project would increase the electrical generating capacity of the power plant by replacing an existing 3 megawatt turbine generator with a new 15 megawatt generator.
 - The University reports that this upgrade would allow the power plant to supply for several years all of the campus electrical needs.

The project would also include necessary structural, mechanical and electrical alterations to the power plant to accommodate the installation of the new generator and connection to the power plant systems.

- Design Services Requests for Qualifications (RFQ) to provide design services for the project were distributed to several Midwestern firms known to have the necessary expertise and the request was also advertised; 14 firms expressed an interest in the project and received information packets from the University.
 - The RFQ outlined the expertise required for the project and stipulated that preference would be given to Iowa firms or partnerships that include Iowa firms.
 - The University indicated that it would accept proposals for either the turbine generator portion of the work, for the steam piping portion of the work, or for both components; the latter required two separate lead mechanical engineers.

The University received eight proposals to provide design services for the

project; all of the proposals included the involvement of an lowa-based firm.

The selection team reviewed the proposals and evaluated the firms in accordance with the following criteria:

- Quality of the proposal and understanding of the project;
- Capabilities of the firm, and experience of the individuals and the project team;
- Experience in a campus environment, and experience and past performance at Iowa State University;
- Specific recent, relevant experience for the turbine generator and piping portions of the project;
- Iowa office or partnership with firms with Iowa offices;
- Reasonable schedule and estimate of man-hours;
- Reference checks; and
- Ability to work with the University project team.

Three firms were selected for interviews by a selection committee.

Based upon the results of the interviews and recommendation of the selection committee, the University recommends the selection of the team of Farris Engineering, Des Moines, Iowa, and Sega, Inc., Overland Park, Kansas, to provide design services for both components of the project.

- The recommendation is based on the strength and experience of the project team, its proven track record of successful projects at Iowa State University and other Midwestern universities, its understanding of the project and its challenges, and its recent experience in similar complex retrofit projects.
- The firms have experience working in a partnered environment; they have worked together on several projects over the last five years and have developed a strong working relationship.
- Each firm would provide a lead mechanical engineer for the project.

The design agreement would provide full design services for a fee of \$1,150,000, Including reimbursables.

prepared by the University is included in the Regent Exhibit Book.

hde l ____ Approved: Sheila Doyle ichols Gre

sd/h:(bf)/03AprDoc/Aprgd15b.doc





REVISIONS:		APPROVED BY:
		CHEGKED BY:
		DESIGNED BY:
COMPLETED:	DEMOLITION	SCALE: Not to Scale
ISSUED:	FACILITIES PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT	REQUEST NO.
DATE: March 12, 2003	IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY AMES, IOWA	SHEET NO. 1